USSN: 08/898,853

As a preliminary matter, the Examiner has raised issues with respect to claims 13, 15, 16 and 18. However, on several previous occasions, including the Personal Interview on October 16, 2001, the Examiner confirmed that the only remaining issues is with respect to claim 13 – whether the present application provides support for claim 13 which recites a core including three layers and a cover – and that any issues concerning claims 15, 16 and 18 have been resolved. This is further shown by the Examiner's statements on page 3 of the Office Action where only claim 13 is addressed. Accordingly, in the event the Examiner does not withdraw the rejection in its entirety, the Examiner is requested clarify the rejection as set forth in the Office Action.

Turning specifically to the issues pertaining to claim 13, Applicants submit the following remarks:

1. On page 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner's only response is that Applicants' position today is "at odds with that taken by the applicants in paper 6 where applicants argued that prior at showing a three piece core and a cover is 'completely distinguishable' from the ball of the applicants' claims, (emphasis added)."

However, Applicants prior response dealt with different claims of the present application and claims of different scope than claim 13 which is now at issue. Moreover, Applicants' prior arguments do not state that what makes the ball "completely distinguishable" was because of the naming of the inner layers as part of the core or cover. Instead, the disclosure of the prior art Higuchi patent at issue was completely distinguishable from the claims of the present application at issue.

USSN: 08/898,853

2. On page 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner relies on "file wrapper estoppel doctrine" and contends "applicants are estopped from now arguing that the inner cover layer may be considered an outer core layer or vice-versa."

Applicants request that the Examiner cite authority to support the basis for reliance on file wrapper estoppel doctrine to contend that Applicants are estopped from making further arguments.

In addition, Applicants note that the prior Examiner (Marlo) of the case has taken a position that is "at odds" with the position that the current Examiner (Gordon) is taken.

Applicants believe it is fundamentally unfair to the Applicants to take such different positions during the course of prosecution. Applicants believe that this further demonstrates that the Examiner should consider the merits of the argument, and not dwell on prior exchanges between the Applicants and Examiner, unless the position of the prior Examiner is considered. Here, the present Examiner is completely ignoring the positions taken by the prior Examiner.

3. The remaining issue is merely one of characterizing the inner layer (i.e., the inner cover layer 15 in the present application) as being part of the cover or core.

Applicants rely upon and incorporate by reference herein the remarks, arguments and evidence in the Response Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111 filed on December 21, 2001.

In addition, Applicants submit herewith a chart, listing various U.S. Patents which were filed close to the filing date of the present application and which pertain to four-piece golf balls. The listed patents demonstrate that the names of the second layer and third layer from innermost layer of the golf ball are not determined by any specific rule of the golf ball manufacturers, or the industry in general. Instead, the layers are named by the inventors and his own personal views.

USSN: 08/898,853

The listed patents show that the names of the second layer and the third layer are used loosely and are characterized in no special way. As the attached chart shows, the second and the third layer are named core or cover or intermediate layer. That is, the second and the third layer are called as a part of a core, a part of a cover or an intermediate layer. Also, the types of materials used, including rubbers and resins based materials, in the second and the third layer do not enable a division between what is a cover or a core.

In sum, though the innermost can be called "core" and the outermost layer can be called "cover," the sandwiched layers, or layers between the two, cannot be defined as a core or a cover uniformly. Simply put, there is no rule on the naming convention used.

Applicants respectfully submit that the focus is not the name of the second layer and the third layer but the technical features such as the use materials used and the hardness of the second layer and the third layers. The Examiner should not be caught up by the names of these layers, but should instead consider the present invention in view of the technical elements or characteristics (e.g., composition and hardness) of the various layers and their relative positions in the construction of the ball. Accordingly, Applicants submit that if one layer of a four-piece golf ball is formed with the same material and located at the same position as that of another layer of another four-piece golf ball, then practically speaking that one layer is substantially identical to other layer.

For all of the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully request that the present rejection of claims 13-19 be withdrawn and be allowed. Applicants respectfully request an interview to review the patents listed in the attached chart should the Examiner not withdraw the rejection.

USSN: 08/898,853

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert M. Masters

Registration No. 35,603

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20037-3213 Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

Date: June 10, 2002



RECEIVED

JUN 13 2002 TC 3700 MAIL ROOM

*Layer 3 is the third innermost layer of 4P ball

intermediate layer has a two perts.	rubbers	intermediate layer	rubhers	5,980,396 Sumitamo Intermediate layer	Surnitamo	5,980,396
	resins,	outer layer of	rosins,	inner layer of		•
three-layered cover	is prefered	COVOL	is prefered	5, 183,293 Acushnet linner layer of gover	Acushnat	5,183,281
	ionomer rasin	ionomer resin∫intermediate layer of	ionomer resin			,
	resins),		resins),			
	(rubber		nubber			
	polymers		polymers			
three-layered core	resins	auter layer of care	resins	of core	Kasco	5,772,531 Kasco
	rubbers,		rubbers,	intermediate layer		
COVER	ายลักร	inner cover layer	resins	surrounding layer	BSP	5,830,085 BSP
two leyered core and two-layered	thermoplastic		rubbers,			
	preferably					
three-layered core	resins	outer layer of core	regins	of core	Kasco	5,743,816
	rubbers,	,	nubbers,	intermediate layer		
three piece core and cover	ekastomer	outer core	s materials	5,273,286 D.J.C.Sun intermediate core	D.J.C.Sun	5,273,286
			nososucodies			
layered cover.	resins	cover	rosins	COVER	BSP	5,818,937
This invention shows at least three-		intermediate layer of	!	innermost layer of		
co. 2	dicto	inner cover layer	ditto	surrounding layer	ASB	5,733,205 BSP
Please see the second last paragraph of						
is a part of cover.	ditto	imer cover tayer	resins	surrounding layer	BSP	5,725,442 BSP
second last paragraph of col. 2. Layer 3	•		nobers.			, ·
Layer 2 is a part of core; please see						
Layer 3 is a part of cover; please see ii.	redns	inner paver layer	rubbers	surrounding layer	BSP	5,688,595 BSP
last line of cof. 2 to first line of col.9.	thermoplastic		rasins,			
Layer 2 is a part of core: please see	preferably	•	thermoplastic			
see II. 11 to 20 of col.4	resins	outer intermediate layer	resins	Гауег	asa asa	5,702,311
•	rubbers,		rubbers.	inner intermediate		
Both Inner and outer intermediate						
Remarks		outermost layer (layer 3)* layer 3	layer 2	(layer 2)	Assignee	USP No.
	material for	Mame of second	material for	innermost layer		
				Name of second		
		The state of the s				